

Ultrafast photoinduced reflectivity transients in $(\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5})\text{MnO}_3$

T. Mertelj and D. Mihailovic

Jozef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

and

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,

Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Z. Jagličič

Institute for Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Jadrska 19, 1000

Ljubljana, Slovenia

A. A. Bosak, O. Yu. Gorbenko and A. R. Kaul

Department of Chemistry, Moscow State University, Moscow 119899, Russia

Abstract

The temperature dependence of ultrafast photoinduced reflectivity transients is reported in $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ thin film. The photoinduced reflectivity shows a complex response with very different temperature dependences on different timescales. The response on the sub-ps timescale appears to be only weakly sensitive to the 270K-metal-insulator phase transition. Below ~ 160 K the sub-ps response displays a two component behavior indicating inhomogeneity of the film resulting from the substrate induced strain. On the other hand, the slower response on the 10-100 ps timescale is sensitive only to the metal-insulator phase transition and is in agreement with some previously published results. The difference in the temperature dependences of the responses on nanosecond and μs timescales indicates that thermal equilibrium between the

different degrees of freedom is established relatively slowly - on a nanosecond timescale.

I. INTRODUCTION

The magnetoresistive (MR) manganites¹⁻³ of the type (Re,A)MnO₃ (Re and A are trivalent rare-earth and divalent ions respectively) have been studied with a broad spectrum of experimental techniques including the ultrafast pump-probe time-resolved spectroscopy.⁴⁻¹² Most of the time-resolved studies were focused on the metallic/magnetoresistive part of the phase diagram.^{4-6,8-10} while the charge ordered part of the phase diagram has been studied less extensively^{7,11,12}.

Near mid-doping, where the ratio between number of Mn³⁺ and Mn⁴⁺ ions is around 1, there is a strong competition between the charge ordered (CO) antiferromagnetic (AF) state and the metallic ferromagnetic (FM) state. It has been shown that the CO state near mid-doping melts under intense photoexcitation^{11,12}, while the low photoexcitation dynamics has not been systematically studied in this doping range.

In Nd_{1-x}Sr_xMnO₃ near mid-doping ($x \approx 0.5$) there is a very narrow region of x where two successive phase transitions are observed when the temperature is decreased below room temperature. The first is the transition to the metallic state which is followed by the transition to the CO phase at lower temperature.¹³ The stability of the CO phase is very sensitive to external perturbations such as magnetic field¹⁴, substrate-induced strain¹⁵, photoexcitation^{12,16}, etc.

To improve our understanding of the dynamics in the MR manganites and the stability of the CO phase near mid-doping we applied the low-excitation-fluence ultrafast pump-probe time-resolved spectroscopy to a thin film of pseudocubic Nd_{1-x}Sr_xMnO₃ with $x \approx 0.5$, in which CO is suppressed due to the substrate-induced strain. We observe a different response on different timescales. The response on the 10-100-ps timescale is similar to the response observed in the metallic/magnetoresistive part of the phase diagram.⁵ The sub-ps response

displays a two component behavior at lower temperatures which we attribute to the layered inhomogeneity of the film due to the substrate-induced strain in the film.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A sample of $\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$ thin film with nominal composition $x = 0.5$ was deposited on an 110-oriented SrTiO_3 substrate by the aerosol MOCVD¹⁷. The pseudocubic 110 direction of the thin film was found to be parallel to the 110 direction of the substrate. The temperature dependence of the magnetic moment parallel to the film and the film resistance R , shown in Fig. 1, indicate a metal-insulator transition (MIT) with an onset at $T_{MIT} \approx 265$ K and a peak of dR/dT at 253 K. Despite the nominal Sr concentration $x = 0.5$ the transition to the charge ordered (CO) state which is observed below ~ 150 K in bulk samples was not observed in the resistivity measurements. The absence of the CO transition could be either due to a minor discrepancy of the real cation composition from the nominal one or more likely due to the strain resulting from the film-substrate lattice constant mismatch. In magnetic moment temperature dependence we observe a kink at 103K. The kink is absent in high magnetic field (45000 Oe) indicating that it is not due to the background susceptibility of the SrTiO_3 substrate which has a structural phase transition in the range 80-105K depending on impurities.

We used a mode locked Ti:sapphire laser operating at $\lambda = 800$ nm (1.5 eV) as a source of pump and probe pulses. The train of ~ 60 -fs-long pump laser pulses was focused on a $60\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ -diameter spot giving an optical fluence of $\sim 5 \mu\text{J}/\text{cm}^2$ per pulse. The train of appropriately delayed probe pulses, which had the fluence 50-100 times weaker than the pump pulses, was reflected from the same spot and detected by a photodiode. The probe pulses were polarized orthogonally to the pump polarization and a polarization analyzer which was oriented perpendicularly to the pump polarization was mounted in front of the photodiode to eliminate the signal due to pump scattering. High frequency modulation (200 kHz) of the pump beam intensity enabled low-noise lock-in detection of the photoinduced reflectivity

$\Delta\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}$ (PIR). The thickness of the film was approximately one optical penetration depth estimated from its optical density. Other details of the experimental pump-probe setup were published elsewhere.¹⁸

The sample was mounted on a cold finger of an optical liquid-He flow cryostat. Due to laser heating, the temperature of the illuminated sample volume was estimated to be less than 15 K above the cryostat temperature¹⁹, which was directly measured using a RhFe resistor.

We observed no change of PIR transients when the pump polarization is rotated relative to the thin-film crystal-axes. A typical measured PIR transient is shown in Fig. 2. The transient is characterized by three delay regions annotated by capital letters A,B and C in Fig. 2. At negative delay times (region A) we observe a delay-independent PIR background, which represents the PIR build-up due to relaxation/diffusion processes significantly longer than the inter-pulse separation of 12.5 ns. The PIR-background amplitude is temperature dependent as shown in Fig. 3. The lock-in out-of-phase signal, which is delay-independent within the full delay range, is also shown in the same plot for comparison. The out-of-phase lock-in signal actually corresponds to the PIR which persists during the "dark" part of the 200-kHz pump modulation period. It has virtually the same temperature dependence as the PIR background, with the only difference being a different sign, implying a temperature independent $-\pi/4$ phase shift of the induced reflectivity at 200-kHz with respect to the pump modulation. The timescale associated with the PIR background is therefore of the order of μs . The magnitudes of both signals exhibit a peak at ~ 240 K and the signals change sign at ~ 260 K. Taking into account the ~ 10 -K temperature difference of the illuminated sample volume relative to the cryostat temperature the background-peak temperature roughly coincides with the dR/dT -peak while the sign change coincides with T_{MIT} .

To aid the analysis of the PIR transients on the sub-ns timescale we subtract the corresponding delay independent background offsets discussed above. We refer to the modified transients as ultrafast-PIR (UPIR) transients. In Fig. 4 we show UPIR transients at different temperatures. We observe two well separated timescales (region B and region C in Fig.

2 and Fig. 4) in which the transients show different temperature dependences. The regions are separated by a break in Fig. 4. Region B includes dynamics up to ~ 1 ps while region C includes slower dynamics up to ~ 250 ps.

Let us first look closer at the temperature dependence of the slower transients (region C). At room temperature, the UPIR transient is negative and virtually flat for delays larger than ~ 1 ps. When the temperature is decreased below 260 K a new exponential relaxation component appears, leading to a change of sign of the UPIR transient at longer delay times. The temperature dependences of the relaxation time and the amplitude of the component are plotted in Fig. 5.

At maximum delay (250 ps) the UPIR transient magnitude is finite in the whole temperature range except around ~ 260 K indicating the presence of a longer, nanosecond-timescale relaxation process. Similar to the PIR background, it exhibits a change of sign at ~ 260 K but is virtually temperature independent below 240 K as shown in Fig. 6.

Let us now discuss the ultrafast response *above* 160 K for delays up to ~ 1 ps. In this range the UPIR transient is negative ($\Delta R/R < 0$) with a 130-fs-long leading edge which is followed by a ~ 300 fs exponential decay continuing into the slow relaxation component described above. The 130-fs risetime is temperature independent in this range. The magnitude of the UPIR transient peak located at ~ 100 fs increases with decreasing temperature exhibiting a broad extremum at 240 K and decreasing again when the temperature is further decreased as seen in Fig. 7 (b). The ~ 300 -fs relaxation time is only weakly sensitive to the MIT as seen from Fig. 7 (a) showing a slight increase when the temperature is lowered towards T_{MIT} and a slight decrease below T_{MIT} . Unfortunately, additional noise due to the large PIR background, which appears in this temperature range, causes scatter in the data and prevents a more precise determination of the fast relaxation-time temperature dependence.

When the temperature is decreased *below* ~ 160 K the sub-ps component experiences a remarkable change in shape (see Fig. 4). Firstly between 160-100 K the leading edge shifts together with the peak by ~ 100 fs towards the positive delay. In addition, the peak

broadens and at 80 K disappears. Below 80 K a positive and slightly steeper leading edge centered again at the zero-delay is observed followed by a positive peak at ~ 50 fs delay and a minimum around ~ 200 fs. After the minimum the UPIR transients still increase slightly on a timescale of ~ 300 fs followed by the slow relaxation component already described. The major changes of the shape of the sub-ps UPIR transients appear at similar temperature as the kink in the magnetic moment temperature dependence when the ~ 10 -K laser heating of the illuminated volume is taken into account.

III. DISCUSSION

The 1.5 eV photon energy used in the present experiment lies in the region of optical transitions between split Mn e_g derived bands²². The time resolved optical reflectivity at 1.5 eV photon energy is therefore directly related to the dynamics of charge carriers, which are the most relevant for the physics of MR manganites. In addition, in $\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$ the reflectivity edge due to the coherent response at low temperatures is below the probe photon energy. A contribution to the photoinduced reflectivity from the collective charge response due to the free carriers at low temperatures is therefore expected not to be significant in comparison to the interband contribution.

Let us now discuss the different delay regions separately, proceeding from the fastest to the slowest timescale.

Above ~ 160 K the 300-fs relaxation time in our sample is just slightly longer than the 200-fs relaxation time measured by us in $\text{La}_{0.82}\text{Pb}_{0.18}\text{MnO}_3$ ⁶ and $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ³³. In $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ Lobad *et al.*⁵ report a sub-100-fs absorption transient, but do not discuss the transient shape in detail. Since our time resolution is ~ 80 fs the 200-300-fs relaxation times measured in $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ and $\text{La}_{0.82}\text{Pb}_{0.18}\text{MnO}_3$ are intrinsic to the samples. However, any additional sub-80-fs components are not completely excluded by our measurements and it is not clear whether significant differences in *the relaxation time* exists between different manganites.

In contrast, *the amplitude* of the sub-ps relaxation component clearly behaves differently below T_{MIT} in manganites with different compositions on the La site. In $\text{La}_{0.82}\text{Pb}_{0.18}\text{MnO}_3$ ⁶ the amplitude increases with decreasing temperature, in $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ ³³ the increase in amplitude is less pronounced while in $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ the amplitude even decreases below T_{MIT} . It remains to be more systematically investigated whether this is correlated with the hole doping, as current data suggest, or it is simply connected with the cation species type and/or the ionic radius on the La site.

Below ~ 160 K the sub-ps behavior in our $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ thin film is much more complex than in manganite thin films with smaller hole doping.^{6,33} Despite anomalies observed in the ultrafast optical response and the magnetic moment temperature dependence there is no signature of any anomaly in the resistivity temperature dependence. This can be explained by the layered inhomogeneity of the film due to the substrate-film lattice-mismatch strain. It has been shown that thin films of $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ deposited on LaAlO_3 substrate display a layered structure with a ~ 20 nm thick insulating layer near the substrate and a less strained layer on top, which undergoes MIT.¹⁵ In addition the remaining strain in the top layer of the $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ film is sufficient to almost completely suppress the CO transition.¹⁵ Similar behavior was observed also in a $\text{La}_{0.66}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films.²⁰ In our case the substrate lattice parameter is $\sim 1.5\%$ larger than the average pseudocubic lattice parameter of bulk $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$. Despite the strain in our case has different sign than in the case of LaAlO_3 substrate, the absence of any anomaly in the resistance temperature dependence suggests a similar layered inhomogeneity of the film. Since the thickness of the film is of the order of the optical penetration depth optical (and magnetization) measurements detect contributions from top and bottom layers while in the electrical transport measurement the top high-conductivity metallic layer effectively shortens out the bottom insulating layer below MIT.

We therefore attribute anomalies observed below ~ 160 K in the sub-ps UPIR transients to the bottom insulating layer(s). We analyze the transients below ~ 160 K in terms of an additional positive sub-ps exponentially-relaxing component originating from the bottom insulating layer. The component partially cancels the leading edge of the negative 300-fs

component observed above ~ 160 K reproducing the observed leading edge shift, as can be seen from the solid lines in Fig. 4. Unfortunately it is not possible to extract neither amplitudes nor relaxation-times temperature dependences of both components reliably since the fits are extremely ill-conditioned. We can therefore only estimate the relaxation time of the additional component to be below 100 fs while the relaxation time of the negative one remains in the 200-300 fs range.

Let us now discuss the origin of the anomaly attributed to the bottom insulating layer. The SrTiO_3 substrate undergoes a second order structural phase transition from cubic to tetragonal symmetry at ~ 105 K. The temperature range at which major anomalies are observed in our $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ thin film are very similar to this temperature. However, the leading edge shift of the UPIR is already evident in the 130-K UPIR transient well above the substrate structural phase transition. In addition the substrate lattice parameters splitting below the structural transition is less than 0.1%²¹ which is more than ten times less than the substrate-film lattice mismatch. We therefore believe that the observed anomaly is not purely substrate induced effect but that it is related to the $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ instability towards CO. Unfortunately from our data alone we can not determine whether the additional sub-100 fs relaxation is indeed related to CO since there is no data yet available on time resolved PIR at low excitation densities on charge ordered manganites.

Proceeding towards longer timescales we look next at region B. The relaxation on a 100-ps timescale observed in $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ and $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$ was attributed to the magnetization relaxation dynamics by Lobad *et al.*⁵, while Ren *et al.*⁸ attribute it to polaron relaxation dynamics. It still needs to be confirmed - for example by time resolved Faraday rotation measurements - whether the hundred-ps component is indeed related directly to the magnetization relaxation as proposed by Lobad *et al.*⁵. In case of $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ we observe a similar temperature dependence of the relaxation time of the hundred-ps component as Lobad *et al.*⁵ (Fig. 5), although the relaxation is somewhat faster in $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$.

For comparison, in $(\text{La,Pb})\text{MnO}_3$ ⁶ the peaks below T_{MIT} in the relaxation-time and amplitude temperature dependences of the hundred-ps component are not observed. Instead,

the relaxation time and the amplitude below T_{MIT} steadily increase with the decreasing temperature with a trace of a hump more than 100K below T_{MIT} . In $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ Ren *et al.*⁸ report a monotonic decrease of the relaxation time below T_{MIT} . While in $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ the different behavior may be related to the higher fluence used in their experiment, it is not clear at the moment what is the origin of the observed difference in $(\text{La,Pb})\text{MnO}_3$. One possible reason for the absence of the peak below T_{MIT} in $(\text{La,Pb})\text{MnO}_3$ is a surplus oxygen content of the film leading to cation vacancies on the Mn and La sites. The disorder could cause faster relaxation due to a broken translation symmetry, but it could also lead to low-lying long-lived localized states. The contribution from such states can not be excluded on the basis of current data on $(\text{La,Pb})\text{MnO}_3$.⁶

The residual UPIR transient amplitude at 250 ps measures the amount of decay between successive laser pulses (12.5 ns). Its temperature dependence is similar to that of the PIR background on the μs timescale with the sign change at 260 K, but without the peak below T_{MIT} . We observe a similar behavior in $(\text{La,Pb})\text{MnO}_3$.⁶ The absence of the peak below T_{MIT} indicates that the response on the nanosecond timescale is (at least in part) *not bolometric* in origin, while the change of sign at 260K suggests that the optical transition contributing to PIR on both timescales is the same.

Let us finally discuss the μs timescale corresponding to the large temperature dependent PIR background. The slowly decaying signal observed at negative delay times (region A) seems to be a general feature present in transient PIR on the GMR manganites observed by us⁶ and others⁵. Its temperature dependence exhibits a peak at the temperature, which is usually of the order of 10 K below T_{MIT} , with the exception of $(\text{La,Pb})\text{MnO}_3$, where the peak is ~ 100 K below T_{MIT} .⁶

A similar background, albeit with a smaller magnitude, was observed in the high T_c cuprates²³ and the charge density wave (CDW) semiconductor $\text{K}_{0.3}\text{MoO}_3$ ²⁴. In these cases the background is peaked at the superconducting/CDW T_c and was attributed to the intragap localized states²⁵. No corresponding out-of-phase lock-in signal similar to that in the manganites was observed in either the cuprates or $\text{K}_{0.3}\text{MoO}_3$. Despite the fact that

the behavior of the background in manganites is somewhat different, we have previously tentatively attributed the PIR background to a similar mechanism.⁶ A detailed analysis of the data presented in this paper suggests that this assignment for *manganites* needs to be reconsidered, since in manganites the PIR-background temperature dependence and the constant $-\pi/4$ background phase shift are more consistent with a bolometric response as explained below.

The expected amplitude of the bolometrically induced reflectivity change is given by

$$\frac{\Delta\Re}{\Re} = \frac{1}{\Re} \frac{\partial\Re}{\partial T} \Delta T, \quad (1)$$

where ΔT is the temperature oscillation amplitude. The specific heat and the thermal conductivity of the substrate and the manganite film^{26,27} below T_{MIT} are both relatively smooth functions of temperature without any significant anomalies. ΔT is therefore expected to be a relatively smooth function of temperature, and can contribute neither to the change of the PIR-background sign at 260K nor to the observed peak below T_{MIT} . On the other hand, the reflectivity around 1.5 eV in manganites is virtually constant above T_{MIT} , but exhibits a systematic drop when the temperature is lowered below T_{MIT} with further, albeit slower, decrease towards lower temperatures^{28,29}. The shape of the PIR background temperature dependence in Fig. 3 is therefore consistent with the temperature dependence of the derivative $\frac{1}{\Re} \frac{\partial\Re}{\partial T}$ which has a peak just below T_{MIT} and is very close to zero or may be even negative above T_{MIT} .

To estimate the maximum magnitude of the bolometrically induced reflectivity change we take the available data for $\text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ ²⁹ where the reflectivity drop below T_{MIT} is ~ 0.04 in the temperature range of $\sim 30\text{K}$. A similar reflectivity drop is expected in $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ judging from a similar temperature dependence of the optical conductivity.³⁰ Taking into account the estimated 0.5K temperature oscillation amplitude³¹ we obtain $\frac{\Delta\Re}{\Re} \approx 4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ for the maximum in-phase bolometrically induced reflectivity change. This is in a fair agreement with the observed maximum amplitude of the PIR background which is $\sim 10^{-3}$. The PIR background amplitude and its temperature dependence are therefore consistent

with a bolometric response.

Further, the temperature independent phase shift of $-\pi/4$ between harmonically modulated average laser intensity and the surface temperature oscillation observed at 200 kHz in our experiment is a characteristic of a 1D diffusion process.³² In our case the heat diffusion length on a timescale of the lock-in modulation frequency is a few μm as estimated from typical heat capacity and thermal conductivity data for manganites^{27,26} and the substrate. Since the laser beam diameter of $60\mu\text{m}$ is much larger than the heat diffusion length at the modulating frequency the heat diffusion is indeed expected to be effectively 1D, further supporting the bolometric origin of the background PIR in the manganites.

A similar $-\pi/4$ temperature independent phase shift observed in $(\text{La,Pb})\text{MnO}_3$ ⁶ suggests the bolometric origin of the PIR background in that case as well.

IV. CONCLUSION

We measured temperature dependence of ultrafast photoinduced reflectivity transients in $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ thin film. On the sub-ps timescale the transients are only weakly sensitive to the metal-insulator transition at ~ 270 K, but instead show a remarkable change in shape below ~ 160 K. Major changes in the shape are correlated with an anomaly observed in the magnetization temperature dependence, but no anomaly is observed in the temperature dependence of the resistivity in this temperature range. The absence of the anomaly in resistivity is attributed to the substrate-film lattice-mismatch induced inhomogeneity of the film. The changes of the transients could be attributed to an additional sub-100-fs relaxation component, which originates from the most strained layer of the film near the substrate. Although the substrate undergoes a structural phase transition in the same temperature range, the small changes of the substrate lattice parameters below the phase transition and the higher onset-temperature of the changes in the transients suggest that the observed anomalies are not due to the substrate but are related to the CO instability of bulk $\text{Nd}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$.

The slower 10-100-ps photoinduced-reflectivity relaxation dynamics is sensitive only to the ~ 270 -K MIT exhibiting peaks in relaxation time and amplitude just below the MIT temperature, similar as in some previously studied manganites with different doping.⁵

On even slower timescales the photoinduced reflectivity is also sensitive to the ~ 270 -K MIT only. While the ultra-slow-response temperature dependence on the μ s timescale is consistent with the expected bolometric response, the relaxation on the 1-10-ns timescale shows a different temperature dependence and is most probably not bolometric. This indicates that the thermal equilibrium between different degrees of freedom (charge, spin) is not reached faster than on the nanosecond timescale.

REFERENCES

- ¹ C.W. Searle, S.T. Wang, *Can. J. Phys.* **47**, 2703 (1969).
- ² R.M. Kusters, J. Singleton, D.A. Ken, R. McGreevy, W. Hayes, *Physica B* **155**, 362 (1989).
- ³ R. von Helmolt, J. Wecker, B. Holzapfel, L. Schultz, K. Samwer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 2331 (1993).
- ⁴ K. Matsuda, A. Machida, Y. Moritomo, A. Nakamura, *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 4203 (1998).
- ⁵ A.I. Lobad, A.J. Taylor, C. Kwon, S.A. Trugman, T. R. Gosnell, *Chem. Phys.* **251**, 227 (2000).
- ⁶ T. Mertelj, A.A. Bosak, O. Yu. Gorbenko, A.R. Kaul, D. Mihailovic, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **14**, 3584 (2000).
- ⁷ M. Feibig, K. Miyano, Y. Tamioka, Y. Tokura, *Appl. Phys. B* **71**, 211 (2000).
- ⁸ Y.H. Ren, X. H. Zhang, G. Lüpke, M. Schneider, M. Onellion, I.E. Perakis, Y.F. Hu, Qi Li, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 144401 (2001).
- ⁹ A.I. Lobad, R.D. Averitt, A.J. Taylor, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 060410 (2001).
- ¹⁰ R.D. Averitt, A.I. Lobad, C. Kwon, S.A. Trugman, V.K. Thorsmølle, A.J. Taylor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 017401 (2001).
- ¹¹ T. Ogasawara, T. Kimura, T. Ishikawa, M. Kuwata-Gonokami, Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 113105 (2001).
- ¹² T. Ogasawara, K. Tobe, T. Kimura, H. Okamoto, Y. Tokura, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* **71**, 2380 (2002).
- ¹³ R. Kajimoto, H. Yoshizawa, H. Kawano, H. Kuwahara, Y. Tokura, K. Ohoyama, and M. Ohashi, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 9506 (1999).

- ¹⁴ H. Kuwahara, Y. Tomioka, A. Asamitsu, Y. Moritomo, and Y. Tokura, *Science* **270**, 961 (1995).
- ¹⁵ W. Prellier, A. Biswas, M. Rajeswari, T. Venkatesan, R.L. Greene, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **75**, 397 (1999).
- ¹⁶ T. Mori, *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 12 543 (1998).
- ¹⁷ O. Yu. Gorbenko, A. R. Kaul, N. A. Babuskina, L. M. Belova, *J. Mater. Chem* **7**, 747 (1997).
- ¹⁸ D. Mihailovic, J. Demsar, *Time-Resolved Optical Studies of Quasiparticle Dynamics* . In *Spectroscopy of Superconducting Materials* ed. by Eric Faulques (American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1999).
- ¹⁹ J. Demsar, *Photoexcited Carrier Relaxation in HTC Superconductors probed by Ultrafast Optical Spectroscopy*, PhD. thesis (Faculty of Math. and Phys., University of Ljubljana, 1999).
- ²⁰ A. Biswas, M. Rajeswari, R.C. Srivastava, T. Venkatesan, R.L. Greene, Q. Lu, A.L. deLozanne, A.J. Millis, *Phys. Rev.* **B 63**, 184424 (2001).
- ²¹ K. Hirota, J.P. Hill, S.M. Shapiro, G. Shirane, Y. Fujii, *Phys. Rev.* **B 52**, 13195 (1995).
- ²² M. Quijada, J.Cerne, J.R. Simpson, H.D. Drew, K.H. Ahn, A.J. Millis, R. Shreekala, R. Ramesh, M. Rajeswari, T. Venkatesan, *Phys. Rev.* **B58** (1998) 16093.
- ²³ C. J. Stevens, D. Smith, C. Chen, and J. F. Ryan, B. Podobnik and D. Mihailovic, G. A. Wagner and J. E. Evetts, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2212 (1997).
- ²⁴ J. Demsar, K. Biljaković, D. Mihailovic, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 800 (1999).
- ²⁵ V.V. Kabanov, J. Demsar, D. Mihailovic, *Phys. Rev.* **B 61**, 1477 (2000).
- ²⁶ S. H. Park, Y.-H. Jeong, K.-B. Lee, S. J. Kwon, *Phys. Rev.* **B 56**, 67 (1997).

- ²⁷ J. L. Cohn, J. J. Neumeier, C. P. Popoviciu, K. J. McClellan, Th. Leventouri, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 8495 (1997).
- ²⁸ E. Saitoh, Y. Okimoto, Y. Tomioka, T. Katsufuji, Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 10362 (1999).
- ²⁹ H. J. Lee, J. H. Jung, Y. S. Lee, J. S. Ahn, and T. W. Noh, K. H. Kim, S-W. Cheong, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 5251 (2000).
- ³⁰ J. H. Jung, H. J. Lee, T. W. Noh, E. J. Choi, Y. Moritomo, Y. J. Wang, X. Wei, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 481 (2000).
- ³¹ Since the thicknes of the film is much smaller than the heat diffusion length on the μ s timescale, the heat diffussion out of the experimental volume is governed by the heat diffusivity in the film and in the substrate and the thermal resistance of the boundary beetwen the film and the substrate. For purpose of estimation we neglect the boundary heat resistivity, assume a negligible film thickness and calculate the surface temperature oscilation amplitude and phase in a simple model assuming a semi-infinite homogenous material using the substrate thermal constants and the thin film optical constants.
- ³² H.S. Carslaw, J.C. Jaeger, *Conduction of Heat in Solids*, (Oxford University Press, Ely House, London, 1959).
- ³³ T. Mertelj, V.A. Dediu, unpublished data on $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$.

V. FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. The film magnetic moment and resistance as functions of the temperature. The magnetic moment was measured in the external magnetic field of 10 Oe parallel to the film.

Figure 2. A typical measured PIR transient (a). The transient is characterized by three delay regions annotated by capital letters A,B and C (b). Note logarithmic scale after the

break.

Figure 3. The photoinduced reflectivity magnitude at negative delay with the lock-in out-of-phase signal shown for comparison.

Figure 4. Ultrafast photoinduced reflectivity transients at different temperatures. Dotted and continuous lines represent measured data and fits respectively. The delay independent PIR background was subtracted and traces were shifted vertically for clarity. Note the logarithmic timescale after the break.

Additional noise present in transients below 250 K is a consequence of the subtracted delay independent PIR background increase below T_{MIT} . Around ~ 240 K its magnitude is almost ten times larger than the UPIR transient magnitude.

Figure 5. The relaxation time (a) and the magnitude (b) of the slow relaxation component (region C) as functions of the temperature. Error bars indicate standard error estimated from fits.

Figure 6. The magnitude of the UPIR transients at the 250 ps delay as a function of temperature. Error bars were estimated from noise present in the transients.

Figure 7. The relaxation time of the fast sub-ps decay (region B) (a) and the sub-ps peak amplitude as functions of the temperature above 150K (b). Error bars in (a) indicate standard error estimated from fits while in (b) error bars were estimated from noise present in the transients.













